IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA CIVIL DIVISION

TA CROSSROADS FEE OWNER LLC, a foreign limited liability company,

Plaintiff,	Case No.: 2023-CA-017541-O
vs.	Division: 48
AMY MERCADO, as Property Appraiser; SCOTT RANDOLPH, as Tax Collector and JIM ZINGALE, as the Executive Director of the Florida Department of Revenue,	
Defendants.	/

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, TA CROSSROADS FEE OWNER, LLC, a foreign limited liability company, sues Defendants, AMY MERCADO as Property Appraiser ("Appraiser"), SCOTT RANDOLPH as Tax Collector ("Collector"), and JIM ZINGALE ("Zingale"), as the Executive Director of the Florida Department of Revenue, and allege:

- 1. This is an action to contest an ad valorem tax assessments for the tax year **2023** and this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Chapter 194, Florida Statutes, and article V, sections 5 and 20 of the Florida Constitution.
 - 2. Plaintiff is a Delaware limited liability company.
- 3. Appraiser is sued in her official capacity pursuant to section 194.181(2), Florida Statutes.

- 4. Collector is sued in his official capacity pursuant to section 194.181(3), Florida Statutes.
- 5. Defendant Zingale is sued in his official capacity as Executive Director of the Florida Department of Revenue pursuant to section 194.181(5), Florida Statutes.
- 6. Plaintiff is the owner of certain real property located in Orange County, Florida and identified by Appraiser as follows:

Parcel No.

35-24-28-6500-02000

35-24-28-6500-01000

hereinafter referred to as the "Subject Property."

7. Appraiser estimated the Subject Property's just and assessed values for ad valorem purposes as follows:

Just & Assessed Value
\$79,546,818
\$497,917

hereinafter, the "assessments."

- 8. Plaintiff has performed all conditions precedent which are required to be performed by Plaintiff in establishing its right to bring this action. Specifically, this action has been filed within the time period prescribed by section 194.171(2), Florida Statutes.
- 9. Plaintiff has paid the taxes which have been assessed in full, pursuant to section 194.171(3)(4), Florida Statutes. A copy of the receipts are attached hereto as Plaintiff's Composite Exhibit "A."

- 10. Appraiser failed to comply with section 193.011, Florida Statutes, and professionally accepted appraisal practices in assessing the Subject Property.
- 11. The assessments do not represent the just value of the Subject Property as of the lien date because they exceed the market value and therefore violates article VII, section 4 of the Florida Constitution.
- 12. Appraiser has included the value of certain intangible property in the assessments, in violation of article VII, section 1(a) of the Florida Constitution.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands that this Court take jurisdiction over this cause and the parties hereto; enter an order setting aside the assessments on the Subject Property as excessive; determine the appropriate appraisal methodology to be used in assessing the Subject Property; establish the proper just and assessed values for the Subject Property in accordance with the Constitution of the State of Florida and section 193.011, Florida Statutes and professionally accepted appraisal practices; direct the Collector to cancel the original bills and issue a new tax bills in said reassessed amount; and finally, to award Plaintiff its costs incurred in bringing this action pursuant to section 194.192, Florida Statutes, and award such other general relief as may be just and equitable.

/s/ Robert E. V. Kelley, Jr.

Robert E. V. Kelley, Jr.
Florida Bar No. 451230
HILL, WARD & HENDERSON, P.A.
101 E. Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 3700
Tampa, FL 33602
rob.kelley@hwhlaw.com
relitrevk@hwhlaw.com
(813) 221-3900
Attorneys for Plaintiff